

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	IO. I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/664,837	-	09/17/2003	James T. Perkins	P03320	P03320 4911	
23702	7590	07/17/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
Bausch &	& Lomb Inc	corporated	THANH, LOAN H			
One Baus	ch & Lomb	Place				
Rochester	r, NY 1460	04-2701	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				3763		
				DATE MAILED: 07/17/2000	DATE MAILED: 07/17/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			ϵ
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/664,837	PERKINS ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	LoAn H. Thanh	3763	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was precised to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin 17 rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 18 cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communicatio D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Ag This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		s
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,5,6,9 and 10 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-2,5-6,9-10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 10.	epted or b) objected to by the lidrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121((d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/664,837 Page 2

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Applicant amended the claims 1 and 5 after a non-final rejection which caused a change in the scope of the claims. The final rejection is proper. Applicant again amended the claims after final rejection and thus required a new consideration by the Examiner.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 04/19/06 has been entered.

Claim Objections

Claims 2-3, 10 are objected to because of the following informalities: The preamble of the claim is not consistent with the independent for which it depends on. . Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/664,837

Art Unit: 3763

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2, 5,6,9-10 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Zadno-Azizi et al. (US 5,997,562).

Zadno-Azizi et al. disclose a cannula/needle having a hub 3, an elongated needle 12 having a first and second inner diameter/bore 14a,b respectively wherein the first inner diameter/bore 14a extending from the distal end toward the proximal end is larger than the second inner diameter/bore 14b and wherein the transition region 21 has a radius and is closer to the proximal end than the distal end. With respect to claim 1 and the limitation of the "wherein..." clause, the Examiner is taking the position that since the cannula/tubular element is disclosed as being made of various materials from various polymer material or metallic hypotube with a hub attached to the end, it is capable to engage the hub with a phacoemulsification surgical instrument for transferring ultrasonic energy to the needle if so desired.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-2, 5-6 9-10 have been considered but are not persuasive. Applicant is reminded that the functional limitation of "for engagement with a phacoemulsification instrument" has not be positively recited and only functionally recited. Since the device of Zadno-Azizi has a hub as claimed, the prior art reads on the claims. Further, the wherein clause is functionally related to the

Art Unit: 3763

surgical instrument and thus only needs to meet the claim as being capable of performing the function in as much as has been claimed.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Davis (5,213,569) teaches varying the diameter of the lumen.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LoAn H. Thanh whose telephone number is (571) 272-4966. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Fri. (First Friday off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nick Lucchesi can be reached on (571) 272-4977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3763

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

LoAn H. Thanh Primary Examiner Art Unit 3763

LT 07/10/06